

Modelling the effects of climate change policy on the NZ dairy sector

Caroline Saunders

Anita Wreford

Selim Cagatay

And Bill Kaye-Blake

AERU
Lincoln University
New Zealand

Climate Change and New Zealand

- 48 % of NZ's GHG emissions come from agriculture
- Land-based industries contribute 70% of NZ's export earnings
- NZ emissions could be around 20% above our target during the first commitment period (2008 – 2012) if we do nothing to reduce our emissions

Linking trade and the environment in the LTEM

- Trade model – links the economic/financial flows between countries for agriculture
- A tool to aid trade and environment policy negotiations and analysis
- Avoids problem of valuing environment and/or developing a single environmental indicator
- Started with Groundwater then GHG now biodiversity

LTEM

- Partial equilibrium model based on VORSIM
- 22 commodities and 19 countries
- simulates impact of policy changes, including, tariff rate quotas, minimum prices, MFN access, quotas, WTO constraints, tariffs and subsidies
- Also can simulate scenarios relating to the development of new markets
- Base year 2004 running put to 2020

Summary of key relationships within the model for Dairy

- Supply of raw milk = f (Price milk, Price beef, Price feed grains, Animals, N, elec, diesel)
- Supply of dairy products:-= f (Relative prices of the dairy products and the raw milk supply)
- Demand for dairy products = f (Relative prices, Income per head, Population, Support policies)
- Prices = f (World price, Market support, Direct Support, Other support)

Agricultural GHG emissions

- Agriculture is a contributor of GHGs
- **Methane (CH₄) - CO₂ equivalent: 21**
Methane from livestock produced from two main sources: enteric fermentation and manure management
- **Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) - CO₂ equivalent: 310**
- Number of sources from agriculture, mainly from animal waste and soil processes

Key Variables for GHG calculations

- Each commodity (beef, sheep and dairy) has its own GHG equation

$$GHG = 21(\alpha NA) + 310(\beta N, \theta NA) + (energy)$$

- *Animal numbers = f(Relative prices of N and Concentrates, relative producer prices)*
- *Fertiliser application = f(Relative prices of Nitrogen and Concentrates)*
- *Energy = diesel, electricity, conc, fertiliser*

Mitigation

- Limit on animal numbers
- Nitrogen limits
- Improve feed quality
- Change production system
- Product substitution
- Carbon tax
- Tradeable permits

Impact of mitigation strategies

Scenarios:

- 1. Base scenario
- 2. EU reduces stocking rate and N fertiliser application
NZ systems remain the same
- 3. NZ and EU both reduce stocking rate and N fertiliser application
- These results are compared with the base scenario in 2010

Raw Milk Producer Returns (% change from base in 2010)

	EU	NZ
2	-10.0	2.2
3	-9.7	-30.7

Change in GHG emissions (% change from base in 2010)

	EU	NZ
2	-34.7	0.87
3	-34.7	-21.9

Conclusions

- NZ is committed to meeting the targets set under the Kyoto Protocol
- The impacts of implementing this could be serious for the agricultural sector, as well as the economy as a whole
- Also the impact of carbon labelling and carbon footprinting has potential to affect exports

Current/Further Work

- Expand energy in the model
- Add forestry to model
- Separate out energy and food markets
- Expand capability to model mitigation
- Expansion of trade model to include a variety of indicators such as biodiversity